

Does Innovation Mediate Good Firm Performance?

Gilberto M. Llanto

Philippine Institute for Development Studies

Disclaimer:

The views expressed in this document are those of the author, and do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Asian Development Bank (ADB), its Board of Directors, or the governments they represent. ADB does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this document, and accept no responsibility for any consequence of their use. By making any designation or reference to a particular territory or geographical area, or by using the term "country" in this document, ADB does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.



Outline of the presentation

- Background:
 - Definition of Innovation
 - Review of Related Literature
- Objective
- Data and Methodology
 - Description of survey data
 - Probit model
- Regression Results
- Conclusion



Background

- Innovation: firm activities involving the implementation of new or significantly improved products or processes or new marketing or organizational method
 - Product innovation = Technological innovation
 - Process innovation = Non-technological innovation
- “Does innovation mediate good firm performance?”



Review of Literature

- Innovation leads to greater productivity
 - Mairesse and Sassenou (1991): estimated the contribution of R&D to productivity
 - Lin and Chen (2007): showed the positive impact of administrative innovation on firm-level profitability
 - Hall et al. (2009): established positive, significant linkage between SME's innovations and productivity, profitability, and growth



Review of Literature

- There is scant research in the Philippines on innovations and firm performance
 - Albert and others (2011): showed that knowledge management is a good determinant of innovation
 - Quimba and Rosellon (2011): reported that some of the automotive firms have not been able to utilize available technology
 - Llanto (2013): described government's technology/innovation programs and financing support to innovative SMEs



Research Objectives

- determine the impact of innovation on firm performance
- identify factors that could lead firms to innovate

Data and Methodology

- PIDS/ERIA-NSO: 2013 Survey on Production Processes for Manufacturing Establishments
- Probit estimation method



Survey scope/coverage




Covers manufacturing establishments in 5 provinces


- CALABARZON (Region IVA): Cavite, Laguna, Batangas, Rizal and Quezon
- Firms with average total employment (ATE) of 20 workers and over
- Survey conducted by NSO/PSA from January to February 2014

Summary of Survey Results: Profile of Respondents 1/2

Respondents by employment size





Respondents by total assets (US dollars)





- In terms of employment size: 72% of the respondents have an employment size of not more than 199 employees: micro, small and medium-sized companies (MSMEs)
- In terms of capitalization: 27% have total assets ranging from USD 100k-500K; 17% have over USD10 million in total assets

Summary of Survey Results: Profile of Respondents 2/2



Summary of Survey results: product innovation



Employment size	Introduced new products	Did not introduce new products	Grand Total
1-19 workers	8	12	20
20-49 workers	15	31	46
50-99 workers	27	25	52
100-199 workers	19	14	33
200-299 workers	8	6	15
300-399 workers	4	2	6
400-499 workers	4	1	5
500-599 workers	17	3	20
1000-1499 workers	5	1	6
1500-1999 workers	3	1	4
2000 and over	3	1	4
Grand Total	113	97	211

Summary of Survey results: process innovation—wider forms of innovation

Innovation measures	Achieved	Tried	Not tried yet	Grand Total
• Production	89	86	35	210
• Procurement, outsourcing	74	82	54	210
• Business process re-engineering	58	74	78	210
• Sales promotion	57	80	71	208
• Sales management	63	78	67	208
• Inventory control	86	84	40	210
• Logistics	76	82	51	209
• Accounting	84	85	40	209



Summary of Survey results:

Improvement in business performance

Business performance measures	Significant increase	Moderate Increase	Satisfactory	Moderate Decrease	Significant decrease	Grand Total
• Sales	15	58	79	49	12	213
• Profit	16	45	83	52	17	213
• Export Value	8	30	57	28	8	131
• Labor productivity	20	66	89	31	5	211



Probit model specification

$$\text{Prod}(I_{jt} = 1 \mid X, Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{AGE} + \beta_2 \text{SIZE} + \beta_3 \text{FOREIGN} + \beta_4 \text{HIGHTECH} + \mu$$



Probit regression results: Determinants of Firm Innovation

	Product Innovation		Process Innovation	
	Coefficient	Marginal effects	Coefficient	Marginal effects
Age	0.007 (0.009)	0.003 (0.004)	0.021** (0.009)	0.008** (0.004)
Large	0.661*** (0.215)	0.251*** (0.076)	0.577*** (0.216)	0.215*** (0.075)
Foreign	0.316* (0.191)	0.125* (0.075)	0.177 (0.192)	0.069 (0.075)
High-tech	-0.001 (0.025)	-0.001 (0.010)	0.009 (0.024)	0.003 (0.010)
_cons	-0.379 (0.236)		-0.464* (0.239)	
se				
note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1				



Probit regression results: Impact of Innovation on Firm performance

	Sales	Marginal Effects		Profit	Marginal Effects		Labor Productivity	Marginal Effects
Product	0.438**	0.158		0.269	0.090		0.435**	0.167
	(0.182)	(0.253)		(0.186)	(0.249)		(0.178)	(0.259)
_cons	-0.650***			-0.716***			-0.469***	
	(0.138)			(0.140)			(0.132)	
Process	0.530***	0.188		0.641***	0.205		0.635***	0.239
	(0.185)	(0.252)		(0.195)	(0.243)		(0.183)	(0.256)
_cons	-0.728***			-0.967***			-0.614***	
	(0.146)			(0.157)			(0.142)	
Se								
note: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1								

Conclusion

- “Does innovation mediate good firm performance?”
 - Our empirical results indicate an affirmative response
 - Product and process innovations lead to increase in sales and profits and improve labor productivity.
 - Firm size, age and foreign equity participation contribute to firm’s innovation activities
- Removing regulatory and structural barriers toward greater openness and entry of foreign direct investments will encourage innovation among firms.



End of presentation. Thank you

